# PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE CAP-AND-TRADE REGULATION and Compliance Offset Protocols

California Air Resources Board June 25, 2015

#### **Presentation Outline**

- Cap-and-Trade Program Implementation Update
- Offset Program Overview
- Regulatory Timeline and Public Process
- Proposed Amendments to the Cap-and-Trade Regulation
- CEQA Environmental Analysis
- Staff Recommendation

### Program Implementation Update

- Jan. 1, 2013: first time GHG emissions have a compliance obligation
- January 1, 2014: linked with Québec
- Nov. 1, 2014: first annual compliance deadline
  - 30% of 2013 emissions
- January 1, 2015: fuels and natural gas suppliers phased into the program
- Nov. 2, 2015: first Compliance Period surrender deadline
  - 70% of 2013 emissions and 100% of 2014 emissions

### ARB Compliance Offsets

- Cost-containment mechanism
- Spur voluntary emission reductions in sectors not covered by the program
- Encourage the spread of clean, low carbon technologies inside and outside of California
- Provide environmental, social, and economic benefits
- Reductions must meet AB 32 criteria
  - Real, additional, permanent, quantifiable, verifiable, and enforceable
  - Additional = beyond regulation or what would otherwise occur

### Offset Program Overview

- First ARB offsets issued September 2013
- 20 million ARB compliance offsets issued to date
  - 125 individual projects
  - 1.7 million offsets used to meet compliance obligations in November 2014 (~4% of total instruments surrendered)
- 100 ARB-accredited verifiers trained
  - 18 offset verification bodies accredited
- Three Offset Project Registries approved
- One new and three revised protocols adopted by the Board since 2011

#### Offset Program Overview 2

- ARB currently audits 100% of projects
  - Ensure program integrity
  - Protect stakeholder investment
- Early action forest project status
  - Adding and redirecting staff resources to address early action projects

### Previous Board Direction for Proposed Amendments

- Resolution 10-42:
  - Initiate a public process to review additional Compliance Offset Protocols
- Resolution 11-32:
  - Monitor offset protocol development and propose technical updates to adopted offset protocols, as needed
- Resolution 14-44:
  - Evaluate all comments received during the public comment periods, make appropriate conforming modifications to the Regulation and protocols, and prepare written responses to environmental comments

### Proposed Amendments:

- Add a new Compliance Offset Protocol for Rice Cultivation Projects
- Add an updated Compliance Offset Protocol for U.S. Forest Projects
- Process for transitioning early action offset credits issued to voluntary rice projects

#### Regulatory Timeline

- October 28, 2014

  Staff released proposed amendments to the regulation with formal 45-day comment period
- December 18, 2014 Board Hearing:
  - Staff directed to make appropriate modifications
- May 20, 2015 Staff released 15-day changes after public workshop
- June 15, 2015 Staff posted responses to environmental comments for Board consideration
- June 25, 2015 Board Hearing
- Anticipated effective date late 2015 with release of updated guidance documents

- First crop-based offset protocol considered by ARB
- Conventional rice cultivation practices serve ecological functions as man-made wetlands; but enhance methane production and emissions
- Rice Cultivation Protocol uses a De-Nitrification Decomposition (DNDC) model to quantify greenhouse gas emissions
- Incentivizes modified practices that maintain yields and preserve ecological benefits
- Potential offset supply of 0.5 3 MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e through 2020

- 6 major rice—producing states: AR, CA, LA, MS, MO, & TX
- Protocol promotes practices that reduce methane emissions from rice cultivation
  - California Rice Growing Region
    - Switch from wet seeding to dry seeding
    - Early drainage in preparation for harvest
  - Mid-South Rice Growing Region (AR, LA, MS & MO)
    - Cyclical wetting and drying of rice fields during the growing season
    - Early drainage in preparation for harvest

15-day Changes

- Provides more detailed methods for calculating mean soil properties from SSURGO and STATSGO2 data
- Allows the uses of weather station-based reanalysis products to be used in all cases for obtaining weather data
- Requires field specific crop yield calibration for the previous practice as well as once for each reporting period to improve DNDC accuracy
- Reduces the maximum number of DNDC runs

- Proposed support for project implementation
  - Adjusted structural uncertainty value to conservatively simplify emission reduction calculations
  - Develop web-based tool that reduces emissions modeling complexity for project operators due in October 2015 with a target of January 2016 for the final version
  - Create a pilot program to evaluate verification options
    - Funded by ARB
    - Goal to identify lower cost verification methods
- Annual Board update on status of all adopted protocols
  - Project location and implementation data will be made available for all stakeholders

13

### Updates to Existing Compliance Offset Protocol for U.S. Forest Projects

- Expands project eligibility to parts of Alaska\*
- Updates Common Practice
  - US Forest Services updates every 5 years
- Updates calculation for Minimum Baseline Level
  - Refinement to the definition of Logical Management Unit (LMU)\*
  - Consistent with voluntary market protocols
- Provides clarification on even-aged management requirements
  - Clarifies requirements to ensure alignment with the California Forest Practice Rule\*

### U.S. Forest Protocol Additional 15-Day Changes

- Additional factors to help define comparable sites for determining the financial feasibility of baseline growth and harvesting regimes
- Limits required corrections to a previously established baseline to errors greater than five percent
- Allows public lands to use modeling to help define the baseline
- Allows paired sequential sampling even if up to 10% of monumented plots cannot be identified

### Public Process for Proposed Amendments

- 4 public workshops
- 4 Rice Cultivation Protocol working group meetings
- 1 webinar on updates to U.S. Forest common practice values
- Publicly released discussion drafts
- 2 informal public comment periods
- Proposed regulatory package released for formal comment period in October 2014
- Numerous informal meetings with individual stakeholders
- 15-day regulatory package released for formal comment period
   May 2015

### **Environmental Analysis**

- Environmental Analysis (EA) prepared for each of the Proposed Compliance Offset Protocols.
- CEQA Appendix G (Environmental Checklist) used to identify and evaluate environmental resource areas that may be impacted.
- Conclusions:
  - No significant adverse impacts from the new Rice Protocol.
  - Same impacts from the updated Forest Protocol as original Protocol analyzed in 2010, but with extended geographic scope by expanding project eligibility to areas of Alaska.

#### Staff Recommendation

- Approve the proposed Resolution which includes:
  - Approval of written responses to environmental comments
  - Adoption of CEQA Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations
  - Adoption of Final Regulation Order
  - Adoption of Compliance Offset Protocols U.S. Forest and Rice Cultivation Projects
- The proposed Resolution also directs the Executive Officer to:
  - Finalize the Final Statement of Reasons and submit the completed regulatory package to the Office of Administrative Law